

DigitalCommons@NYLS

Motions

Carter v Rafferty 631 F Supp 533

11-5-1974

Motion For a New Trial: New Jersey v. Rubin Carter and John Artis

Lewis M. Steel '63

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/carter_motions

•	See 1	442
1 2	Dec	PASSAIC COUNTY COURT LAW DIVISION: CRIMINAL INDICTMENT NO. 167-66
3	STATE OF NEW JERSEY,	1
4	V5.	D310
5	RUBIN CARTER and	MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL
6	JOHN ARTIS,	1
7	Defendants.	1
8		Jersey City, New Jersey November 5, 1974
9		
10	BEFORE:	
11	The Honorable SAMUEL	A. LARNER, A. J. S. C.
12 13	APPEARANCE 5:	
14	JOSEPH D. J. GOURLEY JOHN F. GOCELJAK, Est For the State.	
15	PAUL F. PELDHAH, ESQ	
16	For the Defendant Car	and the second s
17	MESSRS. NOONAN & FLY BY: JOHN W. NOONAN,	Eng.
18	For the Defendant Ar	
19		
20		•
21		•
22		Reported by:
23		Frederick L. Goldberg, C.S.R.
24		Raymond J. Mastandrea, C.S.R. George M. Pascale, C.S.R.
17.4		Official Court Reporters.

(

XZQKI

ll l						
1	WITHESS		DIRECT	CROSS	PE	DIRECT
2	MENT GEORGE	KELLOGG				
3	By Mr.	Feldman		443		
4	Ву Мг.	Noonan		450		
5	VINCENT DE	SIMONE				
6	By Mr.	Noonan		456		
,	By Mr.	Feldman				516
8	ARHOLD M. S	TEIN				
	Ву Н т.	Feldman	535			
	By Mr.	Noonan		541		
	KUDOLPH BAI	LEY				
2	By Hr.	Roceljak	545			
	Ву М г.	Feldman		550		
5	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPT	ION		IDENT.	EVID.
5	DA-10	Handwritten n dated June 18		DeSimone	458	524
7	DA-11 DA-11	Document			486	490
3	DA-12	Post Trial Re	port		488	
	DA-13	Letter dated			513	524
	DC-16	Handwritten r		. DeSimon	e	531
1	DC-1 7	Transcript of	_			523
2	-7 -					
3		•	,			
4				-		
5						

	I	443
rtl '	1	MORNING SESSION
	2	THE COURT: All right, Mr. DeSimone,
	3	MR. GOCRLJAK: Put Mr. Kellogg on again this
	4	morning.
	5	THE COURT: Oh, you are putting him on?
	6	MR. GOCELJAK: Yes.
	7	THE COURT: All right.
	8	The second was to do bouten have
	9	RENT GEROGE KELLOGG, having been
	10	previously sworn, testifies as follows:
	11	THE COURT OFFICER: This witness has been
	12	svora.
	13	MR. GOCKLJAK: We had finished our direct
	14	examination.
	15	THE COURT: Yes,
	16	All right.
	17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	18	BY MR. FELDMAN:
	19	Q Mr. Kellogg, did Detective DeSimone get in
	20	touch with you a few weeks ago?
	21	A Yes, mir.
	22	Q You recall the date? A No, not
	23	exactly. He told me I'd probably have to appear.
	24	THE COURT: Can't hear a word you're saying.
	25	You'll have to speak up.
	23	A Yes, he did.

	11	Kallogg - cross 444
A-2	1	Q Did you go to his office? A Yes, I
	2	aid.
	3	Q Where do you live? A I live at
- _' .	4	10 Arch Street in Ogdensburg.
1	5	Q When you went to his office do you recall what
	6	transpired? A Yes, sir.
	7	Q What do you recall? A We went
	8	over my testimony at the trial.
	9	Q And did he make a tape recording of that
	10	conversation? A Yes, sir.
	11	Q Did he go over all your testimony at the trial?
	12	A Yes, sir.
	13	Q And did he go over all your Grand Jury testi-
	14	mony? A Well, he showed it to me. I looked
	15	at it.
	16	Q Did he read parts of it to you?
	17	A I believe so.
	18	Q Did he read all of it to you?
	19	A I really don't remember. We just went over my
	20	testimony, sir.
-	21	Q At the Grand Jury? A On the two
_	22	times, the two times that I testified.
end	23	
	24	
	25	

Rt1	1
	2
	3
<i>~</i> .	4
<u>.</u>	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
***	21
٠.	22

24

25

	Q	You	testified	twice	at	the	Grand	Jury,	didn't
you?		A	Yes,	sir.					

- Q And you testified also at the trial?
 Yes, sir.
- Q And when you say two times, which two times that you testified did he go over with you?
- A Oh, both statements that I had made.
- Q Did he sp over the entire transcript of your testimony on October 26th, 1966, before the Grand Jury?
- A Well, we went over it and he asked me, well, he showed it to me and he said, "Look through it and see if anything, if everything is true and the same."

And I said, "Yes."

- I don't remember exactly. He just asked me a few questions on the tape and I answered them, that was all. He asked me if it was the truth, what I had said and I said yes, in reference to the trial.
- Q Did he ask you or did he refresh your recollection as to the testimony here today with respect to
 a conversation that you told the Grand Jury you had with
 Alfred Bello? Did he read you questions and answers?

 A No. This was all on my testimony, on the testimony
 that he gave me a copy of my own testimony, the two times.
 - Q How long did this conference take?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

3-2	' 1
	2
	. 3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
.)	41

A	Not	very	long,	not	very	long,	just	for	the	timeof
tapa	recor	ding.								

Did he review the following testimony with you from the testimony before the Grand Jury of October 25th, 1966, at which you testified? It wasn't exactly, we weren't reviewing it. He just said is everything there is the truth. I mean, just as it was -

> THE COURT: Well, the point is, did he read the entire thing and go over it with you or not? THE WITNESS: Well, he showed it to me, he told me to look over, it over.

> THE COURT: I am asking, did he read it, question and answer, every question and answer and ask you if that was correct or did he just ask you a general question?

THE WITNESS: No. He asked me questions, he asked me questions that were asked in the, in my own testimony.

THE COURT: Do you understand my question? Did he pick up the document, the book, and take each question and answer and review it with you?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

(By Mr. Peldman) Did he review this question and answer series with you?

"Question: He told you that?

	•
	2
	3
	. 4
ننب	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
C	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
•	

"Answer: He told me that.

"Question: Did he?"

THE COURT: What does that mean? That is of no significance to me sitting as a fact finder.

Start where it has some meaning.

Q "Question: Did you ask?

"Answer: He might have heard this, I don't know, but he told me that Rubin shot, Rubin Carter shot up the whole bar."

Do you recall him going over that question and answer with you? A Yes, sir.

Q You recall now whether Alfred Bello told you that he thought that Rubin Carter shot up the whole bar or somebody might have told him that Rubin shot up the whole bar?

A No. He had come in, as I put on my testimony, he had come in and said that Rubin Carter shot up the whole bar.

THE COURT: Will you keep your voice up.

THE WITNESS: I have a very low voice.

THE COURT: I understand, but you have to yell.

Will you please read that answer.

MR. MASTANDREA: "Answer: No. He had come in, as I put on my testimony, he had come in and said that Rubin Carter shot up the whole bar."

	lf.	Kellogg - cross 448
tl	1	Q That's what he told you?
	2	A Yes, sir.
	3	Q But, did he also tell you that he might have
, ;	4	heard that from somebody else? A No, he didn't
	5	say this, sir.
	6	Q But, you told that to the Grand Jury?
	7	THE COURT: Told what to the Grand Jury?
	8	MR. PELDMAN: He might have heard this.
	9	Q Is that correct?
	10	MR. GOCKLJAK: I object, your Honor.
	11	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
	12	Do you have a specific question and answer?
	13	Point it out.
	14	MR. FELDMAN: I just read the specific ques-
	15	tion and answer.
	16	THE COURT: Read it again.
	17	Q (By Mr. Peldman) "Questions Did you ask?
	18	"Answer: He might have heard this."
	19	Do you know what you said there?
	20	THE COURT: What does it mean? Objection
	21	sustained. Rither read the entire group of ques-
	22	tions so it has some meaning and do not pick out a
	23	particular question which has no mouning.
	24	MR. FELDMAN: Starting at the top of the page .

"Question: Which apertment?

Kellogg - cross 1 "Answer: North 7th Street with Sylvia. She 2 vaen't in there. Bello came in. When he came in he was all excited. I said what happened. What did you do? 3 4 Still I didn't know what happened. I didn't know what happened. He came in and said, 'Rubin Carter shot up the 5 6 whole bar. 7 "Question: He told you that? 8 "Answer: He told me. 9 "Question: Did you ask? 10 "Answer: He might have heard this. I don't But, he told me that Rubin Carter shot up the whole 11 bar." 12 13 Is that your testimony before the Grand Jury? 14 Yes. The reference to "He might have heard this," 15 16 is that because of something that Bello told you? Well, I don't recall now, sir. It's quite a number 17 of years ago. This is what had happened. I don't recall 19 remember back that far. 21 22

24

now. I just glanced over my testimony. This is what had happened. I told the truth. Now it's a little hard to Q Did Lieutenant DeSimone go over that testimony 23 with you? Yes, sir. And did you discuss with him the meaning of "He might have heard this"? MR. FELDMAN: I have no further questions.

Kellogg - cross

D-2

₽-3

Kellogg - cross

- 13	
1	a Grand Jury; right? A That's correct.
2	Q Lieutenant DeSimone ever talk to you about your
3	participation in the second successful break-in of the
4	Ace Netal Company? A Yes, sir.
5	Q Did he ever tell you that your participation
6	constituted a crime?
7	A Not exactly. Not exactly, sir, because I wasn't
8	really involved with this.
9	Q You just took him back to a place where he had
10	attempted to break in at about 4:30 in the morning or five
11	o'clock; is that what you are telling us?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q But you didn't feel you participated?
14	A No, sir.
15	Q Lieutenant DeSigone never said directly, "You
16	know, you could be prosecuted for this," did he?
17	A Re said, it's a I would be part of this.
18	Q Right.
19	And did he tell you directly that he would -
20	Passaic County authorities would not prosecute you?
21	A Well, no, sir. I had - there would be no problem,
22	yes. He said there was no problem there, because I had
23	called my own lawyer.
24	Q He said there was no problem in this regard; is
25	that what you are telling us?

1	A Well, no, sir. I just - I wasn't going to be
2	prosecuted. What would have been the charge?
3	Q Breaking and entering.
4	A No. I imagine it would have been suspicion of
5	accessory after the fact of attempted breaking and
6	entry or something like this.
7	Q Or accessory before the fact of the attempt?
8	A Or whatever.
9	Q You knew that Dex Bradley and Alfred Bello were
10	going up to the Ace Metal to do a B&E, didn't you?
11	A I didn't know for sure, sir. I had just met them the
12	day before.
13	Q And you just drove up to Franklin Street
14	between East 16th and East 18th and parked there at 2:30 in
15	the morning and they got out of the car; is that what you
16	are telling us? A Yes, sir.
17	Q You knew you were participating in an attempted
18	BaE at that time, didn't you?
19	A I imagine it was. I imagine I knew what they were
20	going to do.
21	Q And you knew that when you went back there that
22	he was successfully breaking and entering into that place,
23	didn't you? A No, sir, he didn't tell me
24	this.
•-	A Wall Liqueonant Delimons told you shout that

44	An	 2-2	

Kellogg - cross

A Right.

O Didn't he indicate to you either directly or indirectly you'd never be prosecuted for your participation in either of those two crimes?

A I don't recall that, sir.

MR. NOONAN: I have nothing further, your Bonor.

THE COURT: All right, sir, that is all.

MR. GOCELJAK: That is all for this witness.

(The witness leaves the witness stand.)

THE COURT: All right.

. .	. 11	DeSimone
ERt1	1	VINCENT DE SIMONE, having been sworm,
	2	resumes:
	3	THE COURT OFFICER: This witness has been
<i>C</i> .	4	sworn.
6	5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	6	BY MR. HOOMAN:
	. 7	Q Lieutenant DeSimone, you've been in law
	8	enforcement since 1946, haven't you, sir?
	9	A *47.
	10	Q '47, excuse me. A Yes, sir.
	11	Q That's right, at Page 401 yesterday that is
	12	what you testified to, please forgive me.
	13	First with the Paterson Police Department and
	14	then in October - I'm sorry, you said you were with the
	15	County since '51? A I was in the sheriff's
	16	office as a court officer for a year and a half and then in
	17	April of 1953 I joined the Prosecutor's staff as a county
	18	detective as a result of a civil service exam.
	19	Q All right. Since 1953 you've been a county
	20	detective, correct? A That's correct.
(F)	21	Q And you've been active in the County Detective
	22	Association, have you not? A I served as
	23	president for two years.
	24	Q When were you president, Lieutenant DeSimone?
	25	A It was either '70 1970 and '71 or '71 and '72, I

25

.

THE COURT: All right.

THE CLERK: DA-10 for identification, your

2 3

4

5

. 7

6

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 end

Honor.

THE COURT: DA-10 for identification. (DA-10, handwritten notes of Lieutenant DeSimone dated June 18, 1966, marked for identification.)

Now, Lieutenant DeSimone, did you, prior to the trial in May, 1967, over bring these handwritten notes to the attention of Assistant Prosecutor Vincent Hull? I don't recall exactly. I conferred with him many times, but - I don't know that he read every one of my notes minutely.

So that you're telling us that you do not have a specific recollection of going over DA-10 for identification with him; is that right? No.

You could have and you could not have, you just --At this point I don't even remember what is NC-10.

Whatever you're referring DA-10. to.

All right. We'll go back to that in a minute.

Do you have a recollection of whether you ever told Prosecutor Theyos of the contents of Mr. Bello's oral statement taken on June 18th, 1966? No. I have no recollection of that.

á	L	5	g
7	•	~	٠

		DeSimone - cross
Pigti	1	Q Would it be fair to say that at any time prior
	2	to trial, and more specifically after Alfred Bello had com-
	3	pleted his direct examination, that neither Mr. Raymond Brown
()	4	or Arnold Stein were given copies of DA-10 for identification
0	5	or show the original that is marked?
	6	A I don't recall, sir.
	. 7	Q Well, if it had been used at the trial it would
	8	be reflected in the trial transcript, wouldn't it?
	9	A I don't know.
	10	THE COURT: How would be know?
	11	Q Referring to Page 6 of DA-10 for identifica-
	12	tion, "At 10:20 p.m." - you noted the time, didn't you?
	13	A I don't know.
	14	(Witness examines exhibit.)
	15	
	16	V (CONSTRUCTED)
	17	Q Excuse me.
		"Bello shown eight photographs by Captain
	18	Gourley," right? A Right. If that's what it
	19	says.
	20	Q "Number 3 photo Rubin Carter"?
<i>C</i>	21	A Right.
0	22	Q "Number 2" no name? A Right.
	23	Q And the notation, is that your handwriting or
	24	his? A That's mine.
	25	Q "I'm not sure." A Precisely.

DeSimone - cross 460 1 F1-2 Number 2 was John Artis, wasn't it? 2 I don't recall at this time. 3 You didn't make a list of the eight people in 4 the photos, did you? No. sir. 5 Did you -- you knew that Carter and Artis were 6 together onthe morning of the 17th? . 7 I did. 8 You interrogated both? A I had. 9 At Paterson Police Hendquarters during the 10 morning and day of the 17th, didn't you? 11 Yes. 12 Wouldn't you say it's likely that you showed 13 Alfred Bello a picture of John Artis? 14 Looking at that now, I would say yes, it's very 15 likely. 16 Okay. 17 He says, and then further down he says, "I do 18 not know the names. But I'm sure of No. 3 photo. I'm not 19 sure of No. 2 photo. A Correct. 20 Do you know what he meant by that? 21 I would assume that - refreshing my recollection at 22 that time for a short time he indicated he was sure of Rubin 23 Carter, but he wasn't sure --24 O Of John Artis? A John Artis. And 25 subsequently recanted that.

Looking at the six-page statement you tried

	1	Depriore - Cross
F1-3	1	Q He says, "The tell on was a little light or
	2	than the other one," correct?
	3	A That's correct.
_	4	Q "The one photo, No. 3, it could, it looks
	5	like it might be Rubin Carter. I'm not sure."
	6	Isn't that what he said?
	. 7	A Precisely.
	8	Q Further on he says, "I'm not exactly positive
	9	of the No. 3 man, right? A Precisely.
	10	Q And you said, "He further said, "At 10:20 p.m.
	11	or shortly thereafter on the evening of June 18th, 1966, if
	12	I saw him in person I probably could identify him, "" isn't
	13	that what he said? A That's what he said
	14	there. That's what I recall he said.
	15	Q You didn't run a lineup on Rubin Carter and
	16	other people? A No. Subsequent to this he
	17	recanted. He said, "I don't know and I never heard of
	18	Rubin Certer until October 11th.°
	19	Q Lieutenant DeSimone, you had at that time
	20	been a lieutenant in the Homicide Squad for how many years?
ر. در ا	21	This is June 18th of 1966. A I worked on
Ü	22	homicides. But, in 1960 I was promoted to lieutemant. I
	23	don't know if I immediately approximately six years. I
	24	was a lieutenant and more before that.

F1-4

. 7

to get down pretty much every thing that is said and done, don't you? Woll as this is not the original. that I wrote. As he was talking, as I was interrogating him

You had a little notebook?

No. No. As a person talks to me, as I write it, if I don't transpose it in a short time I can't read my own notes. As he was talking I scribbled. This is the product of transposing the notes as he was talking.

And eight years later you don't have the original notes with you?

This is an original synopsis?

Right.

		vincialillibe. I	· . sailA
11	DeSi	none	-
1		Q	
2	A	He	st
3	car	vas h	in
4	He W	as fr	80
5		Q	
6	From	your	r
7	Pate	rson	Pç
8	17th	, 196	6
9	vari	ona b	eo
10	Rubi	n Car	to
11	brou	ght t	o
12	A	Yes	*
13		Q	
14	you?		
15		Q	
16	if y	ou sa	M
	li .		

19

20

21

22

25

	Q	You	ı're	sui	ce as	you s	aay 1	Mfr	ed Bo	ilo r	ecan	ited?	
A	He s	tated	a	fow	diffe	rent	time	98 *	Looks	1 i k	hán	lo I	he
car v	was hi	ığ. •	Ha	reca	anted.	Wa	let	him	go.	He W	as f	ree.	7
He W	as fre	0 48	a t	rea	ze.								

And when he made the statement - withdraw that. eading of the various police reports in the lice Department and on the early morning of June continuing on through the day and questioning ple, you knew that shortly after the incident r and John Artis in a white automobile were the scene, didn't you?

- I did.
- And you know that Alfred Bello was there, didn't Yes. Yes, I did.
- Did you over say to him, "Alfred, you say that him in person you probably would recognize him. Didn't you see him less than 36 hours ago"?
- I don't believe I did at that time.
- Is there some other time you said it on that day? No.
- Okay. And you're not sure whether you told Assistant Prosecutor Hull about this statement?
- 23 There would be no reason.

24 THE COURT: What's that?

> "There would be no reason." MR. NOONANE

È
1
1 2 3 4 5
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

24

THE WITNESS: There would be no reason. This was part of the investigation. He had recanted what he had told me.

When he was telling you three or four months

later about the incident and identifying Carter, did you

feel it was unimportant to tell Prosecutor Hull or

Prosecutor Thevos that within hours after the shooting he

did not make a positive identification of Carter and wouldn'

say anything at all about Artis?

A We discussed it generally. But, not insofar as the statement.

Q You never told that to Prosecutor Hull, did you?

A Definitely not. As I recall, I may have discussed everything in here. I know I discussed phases of this.

To make the specific question "Did you tell Prosecutor Hull or Prosecutor Theore that Bello said yes it was Carter. No it wasn't. Yes, it was Carter," no, I didn't do that.

Q You didn't do that with Prosecutor Thevos either? A No.

Now, referring to page 407 in the transcript,
line 20 through 25 and continuing on over to page 408, line
1, I will read it to you --- we have to backtrack to line 16
where the question is put by Mr. Goceljak:

"Question: Up to the time you spoke to Mr.

Bello on June 20th, had he made any identification as to the

persons who had been involved in the Lafayett B.r shooting?

"Answer: What was the date, sir?

"Question: Up until June 20th.

"Answer: Right. No specific. For a short time he would indicate that it was or could have been Rubin Carter. And then he wouldn't stand up to it and never made no specific identification where he would repeat it and say, 'Yes, it was Rubin Carter or John Artis.' There was no specifics. There were inferences in there. But, no specific identification." Do you remember that testimony?

A Yes, sir.

Q And as you sit here today, I believe you testified yesterday, I will probably find it in the transcript if there's any dispute, but you believe that Alfred Bello in his statement of October 14th, which I believe has been warked S-2 in evidence, and in his subsequent testimony in the trial was telling the truth, you still believe that, don't you?

A Yes, sir,

MR. GOCELUAR: I object to the form of the question.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

	466
1	Q Wall, when you questioned hi in Oct of 1956
2	did you ever say to him, "Alired, when I spoke to you within
3	hours after the shooting were you telling the truth to me
4	about identifying, being able to identify Carter, or were
5	you lying to ma?" Did you ever ask that?
6	A That would be poor police procedure.
7	Q Is it police procedure to make a determination
8	of what is the truth or is it police procedure to assess the
9	various facts and arrive at a determination?
0	THE COURT: Don't answer the question.
1	MR. GOCELJAK: Objection.
2	Q Lieutenant DeSimone, were you convinced that
3	Alfred Bello's statement received in evidence as S-4 in
4	this proceeding given October 14th, 1966 was the truth?
15	MR. GOCELJAK: Objection.
16	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
7	MR. NOONAN: Judge, I think that
8	THE COURT: It's not for him to say whether it's
9	the truth or not. You ask him fact questions, not his
20	opinion.
21	MR. NOONAN: All right.
2	Q All right. When Alfred Bello told you on
23	October 14, 1966 that he saw Rubin Carter and John Artis at
24	the scene of the Lafayette Bar coming out, one with a pistol

25 and one with a shotgum, did you believe him?

A Yes, sir.

MR. GOCELJAK: Same objection.

THE COURT: Well, he's already answered it.

O Did you believe him on June 18th, 1966, when he said I couldn't identify them?

MR. COCELJAK: Same ---

THE COURT: I thought I ruled on that and the fact that you use a different word doesn't change the substance of the question. Objection sustained.

MR. NOOMAN: Judge, I think it goes --

THE COURT: You are asserting it, I'm ruling against you.

MR. NOONAM: All right, thank you.

Incidentally, beginning at page 414 of yesterday's transcript, and I'll have, so that we have it fully in context, I'll have to start back at line 10.

"Answer: The first time I had came in contact with Bradley was on or about August 4th of 1966.

"Question: Can you tell us what the circumstances of that were?

"Answer: I was in the Paterson Detective

Burgau on another matter. Now, I heard a little excerpt

from the late Lieutenant Lynch, from LaConte and at that

time Mohl was on these new raps for Bradley, there were new

charges that Bradley was being held on. So I believe it was

talk a little too.

1	
2	

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"So, I went in and was in the room for approximately five minutes. Well, I asked him, I think I said something to the effect of, Bello told us that he was in the area that night and I understand that there is rumors that you were with him. Now, I would like you to cooperate with us. I said, would you try to identify omeone for us in the County Jail tomorrow."

Mohl or LaConte that said to me, I think Burley wants to

And then at page 415, beginning at line 1; question was put to you,

> "Question: Was there someone in the County Jail? You answered, "Yes."

Third question or the question at line 3 was, "What was his name?"

You answered, "Roosevelt Davis."

And then you were asked, "Was he a potential suspect at the time?"

> And you answered, "At that time he was a suspect. Do you remember that?

Yes, sir.

Now, do you have any notes or any report of the involvement as developed prior to August 4th, 1966 of Roosevelt Davis' activities that caused you to believe he was No. There may be some -- I a suspect?

don't have no notes myself, no.

All right.

Paterson Police Department, did you see some thing? There may some. I would imagine there would be some, but I don't have them with me.

And sometime subsequent to August 4. 1966, Rocsevelt Davis, in your mind, was cleared as a suspect; is that correct? Along with others.

> Along with others. 0

> > And when was that? Well -

If you recall. I don't recall exactly, but subsequent to that August 4th date of 1966.

And prior to Alfred Bello's October 14th statement or subsequent --I would say, yes, yes.

Sometime between August 4th, 1966, and October 14th, 1966, roughly, you, as the lieutenant of the Homicide Squad in charge of this murder investigation, in your mind cleared Roossvelt Davis and others as suspects; correct? Yes, I would may October 14th culminated it.

> Q Okay.

And that was because Alfred Bello told you that Rubin Carter and John Artis were the two men that did it; right? With the commistive evidence we had from others.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Okay.

And was there a report submitted to as istant prosecutor — withdraw that.

Did you write up a report bout Roosevelt

Davis and the others for inclusion in your file, Recevelt

Davis cleared by such and such, see the above report as to

his activities and why you cleared him?

A I don't believe. Not in my reports. I don't believe so.

Q Has it ever noted anywhere in the Paterson
Police Department files, if you know, or the Pausaic County
Prosecutor's office, the name of Roosevelt Davis?

A I would imagine there are reports, a phase of reports in Paterson, but I'm not positive. I would imagine there are and I don't recall now. I recall the incident, the individual, the girl involved, the taxi driver, et cetera, vaguely, but the minute details I don't recall.

Q And so them would it be a fair statement to say that prior to the conclusion of the testimony of Alfred Bello and Arthur Dexter Bradley in May of 1967 in this very case that Mr. Raymond Brown and Mr. Arnold Stein were not — the conclusion of their direct testimony ware not furnished with any reports or names of people such as Roosevelt Davis and others?

HR. GOCELJAK: I object to the que tion.

I think counsel is questioning this with me pertaining to discovery proceedings which may or may not have been applicable at the time.

THE COURT: What is the purpose of this? We are not here going over the whole trial, or problem of discovery, or procedure at the trial, or whether counsel at that trial did a good job or bad job, or knew everything or didn't know everything.

What is the purpose of this?

MR. NOCHAN: Counsel at trial with the tools he was given, both of them did an excellent job.

THE COURT: That is beside the point.

MR. NOOMAN: That is the thrust --

THE COURT: Just a minute.

MR. NOONAM: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: This proceeding is within the framework of the proceedings filed with the Court.

Is your question relevant in any way to that?

MR. NOOMAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: How?

MR. NOONAN: It's relative to Brady was.
Maryland material.

THE COURT: How is it relevant to the application before the Court which is based upon allogedly newly-discovered evidence?

MR. NOONAN: Herely-discovered with noe, Judge, wasn't specifically listed to the recursions of Arthur Dexter ---

THE COURT: It wasn't?

MR. NOONAN: No. sir.

THE COURT: I consider it was and the objection is sustained.

MR. NOONAN: I consider that I've made the record on that point, sir.

Thank you.

HR. FELDMAN: Your Honor, may I but something on the record briefly?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FELDMAN: Our moving papers included a memorandum that was submitted to the Court on October 22nd, which doesn't solely limit it to recantation, but also included the issue of whether there were any deals, or promises or whatever made with respect to the witnesses.

THE COURT: No, it dealt with a specific question of whether or not there was any withholding by the State with regard to any promises made to these witnesses. We are not talking about the overall investigation now. We are not concerned with what went on ---

MR. FELDMAN: I'm addressing --

THE COURT: Just a minute. Will you let ms finish a sentence?

MR. FELDMAN: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: We are not talking about the overall investigation. We are not retrying this case. We are not considering any possible problems of error that could have been raised on appeal. We are in a proceeding which is very limited based upon what has been presented to the Court. This question is irrelevant and I

so ruled.

Now, do you have anything else to say? MR. FELDMAN: Yes.

I understand the thrust of what your Honor is saying in saying we are limited solely to recantation.

THE COURT: There is one other element I'm aware of and this question doesn't go to that question either

MR. NOONAN: May I say at the time we filed the motion and at the time we filed the brief on the second point which -- or partially which you are allowing us, we did not have this material of discovery.

THE COURT: We are not opening up all kinds of post conviction conventions.

I've ruled, sir.1

You will proceed.

HR. MOONAN: Thank you, Judga.

May I have S-6 in evidence, please?

Q (By Mr. Hoonan) Now, looking at S-6 in evidence you knew prior to the giving of S-6 in evidence that Brady had returned to the Ace Metal Company in the early morning hours of June 17, 1966 with Kellog and Sylvia Smith, didn't you?

A Yes, sir.

Q As a matter of fact, that is how you confronted him with it; correct? A Yes.

Nothing contained in S-6 about any Kellog or

H2FV3	DeSimone - cross 475	
1	Sylvia Smith, is there? A No, sir.	
2	Wait just one moment. I don't believe so.	
3	No, I don't believe so.	
4	Q And prior to the taking of any statement fro	B
5	Kenny Kellog, or the giving of his Grand Jury testimony	,
6	or his testimony in court in May of 1967, did you tell h	in
7	directly that Passaic County would not prosecute him for	
8	his participation, first, in the attempted B & E, and	
9	secondly, in the second successful R & E7	
10	A No.	
11	MR. GOCELJAK: Your Honor, I'm going to object	ct
12	to the form of the question, because it assumes that	t
13	there was a basis for a charge as to Mr. Kellog.	
14	THE COURT: Well, we'll amend the question to	0
15	the possible charge.	
16	MB. NOOMAN: A possible charge.	
17	I'll accept that amendment, your Honor.	
18	Thank you.	
19	THE COUPT: What was your answer to that?	
20	THE WITHESS: No.	
21	Q (By Mr. Moonan) You never made him any	
22	promise? A Not in regard to a break in in a	
23	mill, or anything like that, no.	

But the facts indicated that a possible crime

two crimes had been committed by Kellog, didn't it?

A This came at the eleventh hour after all the investigation was in route. I didn't know until November 3rd that there had been, in fact, a break committed. I wasn't even aware attempt had been made, except for the fact that they had so stated. There wasn't anything that I knew of on paper.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did you ever tell Assistant Prosecutor Hull that Kenny Kellogg was involved in a second subsequent possible

437

I don't recall, but Prosecutor Hull was aware because I told him about the other witnesses we had as a result of that revelation.

And you had no discussion concerning the fact that, well, we won't bother with this B&E or attempted B&E, we've got a big murder with three people and one graviously No, no discussion about wounded, did you? λ

JIGEL 1

Q No. sir. In May of 1967.

A I was probably present. I don't recall. But, I was present during the major portion of the trial. And I sat, just as I sit here, I left to make phone calls, contact people. But, I was there for the bulk of the trial. I don't recall specifically, but —

Q At the conclusion of Mr. Bello's direct testimony Mr. Brown and Mr. Stein were not furnished with DA-10
or a copy thereof, were they? A I don't
recall.

Q And they were not furnished with a copy of a tape, were they? A I don't recall. But, I don't believe so.

Q Gkay.

Mow, at Page 424 where you're talking to Arthur Dexter Bradley at Line 1:

*Answer: I further told him I had, I couldn't even promise him enything in my own county where I know the judges and therefore in the foreign counties where I didn't know the judges I couldn't promise him anything but I did promise him that I would go to every prosecutor's office where there were charges pending against him and make known the fact that he had testified in a triple homicide in the hope that he would relate this to their respective probation departments who were preparing PSI's. Which, I believe,

1	,	Desimona - Cross 482		
J1-2	1	means "Pre Sentence Investig tion"?		
	2	A Yes.		
	3	Q You consider that some sort of promise to		
	4	Dexter Bradley? A Yes.		
	5	Q You promised him you'd go to every prosecutor		
	6	where he had charges pending and relate the fact he was a		
	7	witness in a triple homicide in the hope they would bring		
	8	it to the attention of the respective probation departments		
	9	in preparing the Pre Sentence Report which the judge reads		
	10	in handing down sentencing, is that correct?		
	11	A Exactly.		
	12	Q Did you tell Assistant Prosecutor Vincent Hull		
	13	of that promise? A Yes. Sconer or later, I		
	14	did.		
	15	As a matter of fact, he assisted me in some of these		
	16	contacts.		
	17	Q Did you tell Prosecutor John Thevos of this		
	18	promise? A I don't recall if I specifically		
	19	told Prosecutor Theves. But, I told the trial attorney,		
	20	Mr. Hull.		
C ·	21	Q And continuing on, you said to Mr. Bradley,		
	22	at Line 12:		
	23	"Answer: Now, I said, what will eventually		
	24	be the outcome I can't promise you, but I promise you I		
	- , ,			

will do everything in my power, within my means, but the

J1-3	1	primary thing is the truth.		
	2	Remember that yesterday A Right.		
	3	Q You did promise you'd d everything in your		
	4	power and everything in your means in the context we have		
C	5	gone over, didn't you? A Exactly.		
	6	Q No question about that.		
	7	And you told Assistant Prosecutor Hull about		
	8	it? A I imagine so. He was the trial attorney.		
	9	Q You don't recall whether you told Prosecutor		
	10	Thevos about this? A No, I don't.		
	11	Q But, it's clear that you personally never in		
	12	writing or orally told Mr. Raymond Brown or Mr. Arnold		
	13	Stein of those promises, did you?		
	14	HR. GOCELJAK: I object to it. It's		
	15	repetitive.		
	16	THE COURT: Yes. We only heard it three		
	17	times.		
Ü	18	But, answer it again.		
	19	Do you remember the question?		
	20	MR. NOONAN: Would you read it back?		
	21	THE COURT: Whether you told Mr. Brown or Mr.		
	22	Stein.		
	23	THE WITHESS: No, I don't believe so.		
	24	THE COURT: About the promises?		
	25	THE WITNESS: No. I don't believe so.		

1	-0	
-	o	×

DeSimone - - cross

MR. NOOHAN: Nay I have the let to to the Governor, the letter marked S-S in evidence, please THE COURT: Yes.

memo that I sent to Chief Walter Spahr, Chief of County Detectives, Bergen County, since resigned or retired.

24

23

And it's dated July 7, is it not?

25

Yes, sir, so it appears, yes.

dom see a	DeStrone - once
KRV3	DESTROITS - CIOSS
1	HR. NOONAM: Does Mr. Gourley have may objection
2	for this going into evidence so I can read it?
3	HR. GOURLEY: No, there is no objection to this
4	going into evidence.
5	THE COUET: All right, mark it DA-11 in evidence.
6	(DA-11, previously marked for identification,
7	received in evidence.)
8	
ا و	
10	·
11	
12	
13	
14	
II.	
15	
16	
17	1>
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·
LL LL	

LlPtĺ	1	Q Now, this is your confidenti ndum o
	2	Walt Spahr - A That's correct,
	3	Q that's now been received in evidence?
_	4	I'm referring to the charges of Arthur Dexter
	5	Bradley of S 62-66, armed robbery with fireass and S 63-66,
	6	larceny of motor vehicle, you wrote Walter Spahr and told
	7	him, "Yesterday I spoke with Detective Kikkert on the phone
	8	and asked him to relay a message to you re above-captioned
	9	subject.*
	10	Do you remember typing that?
	11	A I don't know if I typed it.
	12	Q Or having it typed on your behalf?
	13	A I remember having it eventually delivered. Whether
	14	it was mailed or hand-delivered, I don't recall. I would
	15	imagine it went to Walt Spahr. I'm not sure,
	16	Q And then you said, "Because of the fact that
	17	I will be on vacation for one week commencing July 10, I am
	18	taking this opportunity to give you a rundown of what has
	19	transpired since I last spoke to pu about Bradley and our
	20	hopes for the future."
<u> </u>	21	Do you remember that? A Yes.
	22	Q And then do you remamber continuing, "Bradley
	23	was sentenced in Union County on June 29th, 1967, 3 to 5
	24	years State Prison total. Ne's slated for sentence in
	25	Morris County on July 14, next. It would be appreciated if

shortly after the Morris sentence you would have him brought to Bergen and remanded pending arraignment and sentence.

While he's remanded in Bergen we hope to have him brought to Essex County on a daily basis for arraignment and sentence, respectively. We hope to do this to avoid an extended stay in the Essex County Jail which has a large Negro population. This procedure was followed in the Union-Morris situation.

When you are finished with him we'll have him brought to Passaic County to dispose of two lesser charges and then will transport him to Trenton from here. Do you remember that?

A Yes.

Q And then next paragraph, do you remember dictating or however it was dones

"On the same day that he arrives in Trenton he will be transferred to Leesburg if everything goes according to plan." Do you remember that?

A Precisely.

Arthur Dexter Bradley without guaranteeing it, that you'd go to the top people in the State and if you could transfer him to Trenton the same day he arrived to some more safer place you'd do it; right?

A Precisely.

Q And you told Arthur Dexter Bradley that before he ever testified to that in this trial, in the month of May, 1967, didn't you? A Before he

L-3	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20

22

23

24

25

tes	tified?

- Q Yes. A I imagine so. I hagine so.
- Q You personally never told Mr. Brown or Mr. Stein of that promise, did you? A I don't believe so.

All right.

Then at the concluding paragraph on the first page you say, "It is our hope that the Horris County sentence is concurrent with the Union County sentence and that the same thing occurs in your county and Essex."

Didn't you say that? A Precisely.

Q So that you did a little something more than just relate to the Union, Morris, Essex, Bergen and Passaic County authorities that Arthur Dexter Bradley —

MR. GOCELJAK: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

THE COURT: Let him finish the question.

Q (Continuing) — that Arthur Dexter Bradley
was an important witness in a triple homicide case and that:
you were — he had testified for you and that you were re
laying that information to them in the hope that they would
relate it to their county probation departments in the hope
that it would be included in the presentence investigation
report; correct?

A Yes.

MR. GOCELJAK: I object to the form of the

		neprmone - cross		
L1-4	1	question, because it includes a number of counties.		
	2	I think here we are referring to one co		
	3	THE COURT: Well, this refers to Bergen County		
	4	All right.		
0	5	Q (By Mr. Noonen) Limiting it to just Bergen		
	6	for a moment, although you mentioned Union, Horris and		
	7	Essex, that you hope he would get concurrent sentences in		
	8	all these counties, don't you? A I know I didn't		
	9	say that specifically. I said he would get consideration.		
	10	Now, if this followed, fine. As a matter of fact,		
	11	I testified I was ultimately disappointed that he didn't		
	12	get credit for the County Jail time.		
	13	Q Right.		
	14	Doesn't the last paragraph on the first page		
	15	of DA-11 in evidence, which, of course, speaks for itself,		
	16	say, "It isour hops that the Mozris County sentence is con-		
	17	current with the Union County sentence* -		
	18	A Yes, but one thing -		
	19	Q Please, lot me finish my question, Lieutenant		
	20	DeSimone. A All right.		
, - .	21	Q All right, sir? A Yes, sir.		
	22	0 - and that the same thing occurs in your		
	23	countyand Essex.* Correct? A Certainly.		
	24	THE COURT: He said it was his hope.		
	25	That is enough. You have answered it.		
	I			

Do you have another question?

MR. NOOHAN: Yes, sir, I do.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

2
L
_

7 8

and again specifically referring to these charges in Bergen, you state, "Prosecutor Calissi requested that he be reminded via letter about Bradley when Vince Hull (Assistant Prosecutor) conferred with him on June 2nd, 1967 so that he could make a pitch with the sentencing Judge for a concurrent sentence."

Do you remember that?

- A I don't romember, but since it's there, it must have happened.
- Q And then you said, "Kindly advise if you consider this necessary."
- A Must have happened.
- Q Then you said about Vince Byron, who was a Lieutenant in the Essex County Presecutor's office at that time; right? A Right.
- o "Vince Byron has been apprised of the situation and will follow up after Bradley is remanded in your county"; correct?

 A Precisely.
- Q And then you concluded by saying, "As I stated,
 I will be on vacation next week, but if any problems arise
 you can contact Detective Gene Prederick who is familiar with
 the facts of this matter. Thanks a million for your
 cooperation. Sincerely, Vince; " correct?
- A Exactly.

1	
2	
3	I
4	
5	

2 So that at leash in Berger County, as appears by this letter, you did something a little bit more than you testified to at page 427, and I'll read that to you and then put a question to you.

"However, I couldn't promise anything, but I would do what I could in that wein." Referring back to protection.

"Number two, I would contact every Prosecutor's office where there were pending charges and advise my fellow County Detectives that this man had testified in a triple homicide and ask them if they would do what I had done in my county and sought out the probation officer preparing the PSI and advising him so that the sentencing Judge would know at the time of sentence that this man had testified for the State in a triple homicide."

Remember testifying to that?

A Yes, sir.

Q And at least in July of 1967 you did a little something more than that, didn't you?

THE COURT: You mean in writing this memo?

MR. NOOHAN: Yes.

Q You asked Walt Spahr that it was your hope the man he would get concurrent sentences in his county, Union County, Morris County and Essex Counties?

But the primary purpose for writing the letter was a

.

different thing that hasn't been mentioned yet.

What was that, protection?

Morris County to Union County and he would have remained in Union County from arraignment up until santence, but the first night he was in the Union County Jail he was worked over by a couple of inmates. He was then brought back to Horris County and the request was that he be either remanded in Morris or Bergen and be taken to Essex on a daily basis, brought down there for arraignment, then back to Bergen, brought down there for sentence and then back to Bergen.

This was the primary reason for this missile, because I had discussed this with not only Chief Spahr, but Captain Kikkert.