

---

Exhibits

People v. Maynard, 80 Misc. 2d 279 - NY:  
Supreme Court, New York 1974

---

11-17-1970

## Defendant Exhibit W for Identification

Lewis M. Steel '63

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/maynard\\_exhibits](https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/maynard_exhibits)

---

KATHERINE QUINN (MORAN)  
51-34 30th Avenue  
Woodside, Queens, N. Y.

RA 6-0640

By: Lewis M. Steel

Dan and I interviewed Kathy Quinn at her house on October 5, 1970 at approximately 9:30 PM. The interview lasted for approximately 1/2 hour. Kathy remembers that at a certain point in May, 1967 the police, including Stone and O'Brien, came out to the Quinn house to ask them about where Maynard was on April 2nd. After the detectives came out, the Quinns attempted to reconstruct the previous weeks, and all decided that the evening of April 2nd Maynard spent at their house.

Sometime later the Quinns signed the affidavit which was used in the extradition hearings, which indicated their belief that Maynard was at their house.

Almost two years later, at 8:30 in the morning, two detectives appeared at the Quinn's door and took them to Gallina's office. Thereafter Gallina conducted long and intensive interviews, both with the Quinns as a group and individually. After a few of the sessions, Kathy became confused and the issue became cloudy as to where Maynard was there or not, and finally she decided she was not sure.

Now, almost three years later, she is less sure than she was at any previous time. But, as she looks back on events, she does remember that from the first time she considered the question until the Gallina interviews, she was sure. In other words, as time passed her memory became less clear.

Kathy will also testify that Maynard was an extremely sharp dresser, he never wore windbreakers or pea jackets, that she was in his house and was familiar with his wardrobe, that he didn't have any weapons to her knowledge, and especially did not have a shotgun.

If there is a way to phrase the question, Kathy will testify that in her opinion Maynard is definitely not the type who would have committed the homicide in question.

Kathy will also testify that while Maynard was young looking for his age, he did look at least 25 in 1967 and could not be mistaken for an 18-20 year old youth.

Kathy showed us pictures of Michael and Maynard together out at the Quinn house, around the time of the murder. I think these pictures reveal Maynard to look older than 18-20 and revealed Michael Quinn as a rather tall, thin guy (approximately 6 feet tall) with a lot of sandy brown hair, piled up high on his head, and he had glasses. Apparently, on the street he would always wear glasses.

/cpm

EXHIBIT

Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.

*Deft* **EXHIBIT** *w/d*  
 SUPREME COURT  
 NOV 17 1970  
 A. GRAFSTEIN, Official Reporter