

## DigitalCommons@NYLS

**Retaliation Charges** 

Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. v. Avagliano, 457 US 176 - Supreme Court 1982

2-15-1983

## Stamped by EEOC Copy of Palma Incherchera's Retaliation Charges

Lewis M. Steel '63

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/retaliation\_charges

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE) PHOVED BY OMB

146 0012

4 1

×4.

oires 12/31/83

## CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION

IMPORTANT: This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. see Privacy Act Statement on reverse before completing it.

C	HARGE NUMBER(S) (AGENCY USE ONLY)
	STATE/LOCAL AGENCY
	EEOC

(State or Local Agency)	
AME (Indicate Mr., Ms. or Mrs.) ls·. Palma Incherchera	HOME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)
REET ADDRESS 866 Philip Avenue	(212) 409-5157
rty, state, and zip code Fronx, New York 10461	Bronx
AMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGE OCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME. (	NCY, APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, STATE OR If more than one list below).
AME umitomo Corp. of America	TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (212) 935-7000
city, sta 145 Rark Avenue, New York, New York 10154	TE, AND ZIP CODE
AME	TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)
TREET ADDRESS 'CITY, STA	ATE, AND ZIP CODE
AUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON MY (Check appropriate box(es))	
TARACE COLOR XX SEX RELIGION XX NA	TIONAL ORIGIN
ATE MOST RECENT OR CONTINUING DISCRIMINATION TOOK LACE (Month, day, and year) CONTINUING	

charge Sumitomo Corp. of America with retaliating against me for exercising ights protected under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission alleging that umitomo Corp. of America discriminated against me on January 5, 1982. The charge umber is 021-82-0685. By a letter dated June 7, 1982, I was notified by the istrict Director that the Commission had issued a right to sue letter. As a esult, I filed an action in the United States District Court for the Southern istrict of New York on July 28, 1982. That action is entitled Incherchera v. umitomo Corp. of America, 82 Civ. 4930 and is assigned to the Hon. Charles H. enney.

believe that Sumitomo Corp. of America began to retaliate against me as soon t learned of the charge which I filed and that this retaliation has escalated gainst me since that time. On or about January 4, 1983, I was denied a promotion from Senior Secretary to Administrator, which I would have received, but for y filing charges and filing a lawsuit and pressing forward with my lawsuit.



will advise	the agencies if I change my address or telephone			
umber and	I will cooperate fully with them in the processing			
I my charge in accordance with their procedures.				

declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Edm Indurchera

NOTARY - (When necessary to meet State and Local Requirements)

I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to

the best of my knowledge, Information and belief.

SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT ,

+ Salma fre Herchera

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE

Jand year) Jahl, 1983

LEWIS M. STEEL

Notary Public, State of New York.

No. 31-9162590

Qulified to New York County

Commission expires March 30, 1984

7-11-87 CHARGING PARTY (Signature)

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

PALMA INCHERCHERA,

Charging Party,

: AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT : OF CHARGE OF RE-: TALIATION

-against-

SUMITOMO CORP. OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

STATE OF NEW YORK )

SS.:

COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

PALMA INCHERCHERA, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am the charging party in the above captioned matter and submit this affidavit in support of my charge of retaliation and to request that the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) seek preliminary relief to stop this retaliation.
- 2. A civil case charging Sumitomo with discrimination in employment has been pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York since July 1982.
- 3. I have been employed by the respondent for more than ten years. During this period of time, I have worked in a clerical capacity for the credit and legal departments.
- 4. During my years at Sumitomo, I have performed my work competently and, in fact, until March 1982, I was required to do secretarial work for seven men, an extremely heavy burden.
  - 5. In early January 1981, I was given the title "Senior

Secretary," although my job functions did not change. At Sumitomo, clericals are now commonly given job title promotions which do not involve a change in job duties.

- 6. I have been informed many times by both officials of the personnel department and the manager of my department that these promotions are normally given to employees who have been in their title for two years.
- 7. In December 1981, I spoke to the respondent's personnel manager, Mr. Tsuwano, and assistant personnel manager, Mr. Okamoto, concerning a request to be promoted to Administrator. At the conclusion of this meeting, I was told that it was too late for me to get such a promotion that year, but if I kept up my good work I would be promoted the following year. At that point, I had not filed any charges with the EEOC.
- 8. During the same period of time in which I had the above discussion, I also talked with the manager of the credit department where I worked, Mr. Takashima, concerning my work overload and my request for a promotion. During the end of 1981, Sumitomo began interviewing women to perform clerical work in my department and I was told by Mr. Takashima that when the new woman came to work, he would change my job title.
- 9. On or about January 5, 1982, I filed a charge with the EEOC against Sumitomo alleging discrimination in employment.
  - 10. In March 1982, a new clerical was hired in my department,

yet my job title was not changed.

- 11. In June 1982, I was advised by the EEOC that I could institute a suit in the United States District Court, which I did in July 1982.
- department, Mr. Kamijama and Mr. Okamoto, sought me out to have a conversation as to why I had filed the charge and what could be done to get me to drop it. Both of these men, as well as Mr. Ohno, the general manager of administration, pressed me to drop the charge. In these conversations, I told the Sumitomo representatives that I wanted to be able to consult with my own lawyer, Mr. Steel, if we were to attempt to arrive at a settlement. I was told that I had to drop Mr. Steel. Mr. Okamoto went so far as to say that if I dropped my present attorney, I could pick another lawyer or Sumitomo would help me get one. Needless to say, I did not wish to negotiate under such conditions.
- 13. During the course of these July 1982 conversations, I asked Mr. Takashima why he had not changed my job title and responsibilities after our department got a new clerical employee in March. He responded that he did not know what to do because of what I had done.
- 14. In December 1982, I received the same across the board percentage salary increase given to other clerical employees. I also received what I assume to be a standard bonus.

- 15. On or about January 4, 1983, a memorandum (Exhibit 1, attached hereto) was circulated which listed the promotions of clerical employees. I did not receive a promotion at that time, nor have I received one since.
- 16. I believe that Sumitomo has failed to promote me to Administrator and increase my pay accordingly in order to retaliate against me for charging it with discrimination and actively prosecuting that charge in the district court.
- 17. I file this charge of retaliation and request that the EEOC seek preliminary relief at this time as Sumitomo's failure to promote me has serious economic consequences for me as I am a single parent raising a child without outside child support, and also weakens my will to stay at the company itself. I had been promised a new job title which would have put me in a position to further advance myself. Obviously, Sumitomo has now made a decision to lock me into my present position and limit my development. Psychologically, it is very difficult to accept being denied even the most basic opportunities for advancement.
- 18. Moreover, I feel the failure to give me this small promotion is intended to signal other women working at Sumitomo that they should not cooperate in the prosecution of my lawsuit.
- 19. Women employees at Sumitomo have already told me they fear that Sumitomo will retaliate against them also if they publicly express that they are being discriminated against.

20. For all these reasons, I request that the EEOC seek preliminary relief at this time.

> Palma elne Sere Seern PALMA INCHERCHERA

Sworn to before me this

day of February, /1983.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Lewis M. Steel, Notary Public State of New York No. 31-9162590 Qualified in New York County Commission expires March 30, 1984