

DigitalCommons@NYLS

Retaliation Charges

Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. v. Avagliano, 457 US 176 - Supreme Court 1982

2-11-1983

Palma Incherchera's EEOC Retaliation Charge and Affidavit in Support

Lewis M. Steel '63

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/retaliation_charges

angen in see a an a	(PLEA	SE PRINT OR TYPE)	
TOVED BY OMU	CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION IMPORTANT: This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974, see Privacy Act Statement on reverse before completing it.		CHARGE NUMBER(S) (AGENCY USE ONLY)
6 ¹ 0012 res 12/31/83			STATE/LOCAL AGENCY
3 * 3 20 -			EEOC
al Employment Op	portunity Commission and (State or L	ocal Agency)	
iE (Indicate Mr., Ms. or Mrs.) Palma Incherchera			HOME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)
eer Address 66 Philip Avenue			(212) 409-5157
v. state, and zip code onx, New York 10461			Bronx
CAL GOVERNMEN	OYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMP TAGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED	PLOYMENT AGENCY, APPR AGAINST ME. (If more than	
mitomo Corp. of America			TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (212) 935-7000
5 Park Avenu	1e, New York, New York	CITY, STATE, AND ZIP C 10154	CODE
ME			TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)
IEET ADDRESS		CITY, STATE, AND ZIP	CODE
JSE OF DISCRIMINATIO	N BASED ON MY (Check appropriate box(es))		
ARACE C	OLOR XX SEX 🗌 RELIGI	ON XX NATIONAL OR	IGIN OTHER (Specify)
FE MOST RECENT OR C	ONTINUING DISCRIMINATION TOOK	,	
PARTICULARS ARE:	" continuing		
charge Sumit	como Corp. of America y ted under Title VII of	with retaliating the Civil Rights	against me for exercising 3 Act of 1964.
mitomo Corp mber is 021 strict Direc sult, I file strict of No	of America discrimin -82-0685. By a letter of that the Commission ad an action in the Un ew York on July 28, 19	ated against me o dated June 7, 19 on had issued a m ited States Distm 82. That action	ity Commission alleging that on January 5, 1982. The char 982, I was notified by the right to sue letter. As a rict Court for the Southern is entitled <u>Incherchera v.</u> gned to the Hon. Charles H.
learned of ainst me sin on from Sen	the charge which I fince that time. On or for secretary to Admin	led and that this about January 4, istrator, which 1	etaliate against me as soon a s retaliation has escalated 1983, I was denied a promo- I would have received, but fo forward with my lawsuit.
19 Ja			
	*		
i de la Calendaria. Nota i contra			
1 (h)			

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

PALMA INCHERCHERA,

Charging Party,

Respondent.

-against-

SUMITOMO CORP. OF AMERICA,

STATE OF NEW YORK) SS.: COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

PALMA INCHERCHERA, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPP OF CHARGE OF RE-

TALIATION

1. I am the charging party in the above captioned matter submit this affidavit in support of my charge of retaliation a to request that the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission () seek preliminary relief to stop this retaliation.

2. A civil case charging Sumitomo with discrimination : ployment has been pending in the United States District Court the Southern District of New York since July 1982.

3. I have been employed by the respondent for more that years. During this period of time, I have worked in a cleric capacity for the credit and legal departments.

4. During my years at Sumitomo, I have performed my wo competently and, in fact, until March 1982, I was required to secretarial work for seven men, an extremely heavy burden.

5. In early January 1981, I was given the title "Senio

Secretary," although my job functions did not change. At Sumit clericals are now commonly given job title promotions which do involve a change in job duties.

6. I have been informed many times by both officials of personnel department and the manager of my department that thes promotions are normally given to employees who have been in the title for two years.

7. In December 1981, I spoke to the respondent's personn manager, Mr. Tsuwano, and assistant personnel manager, Mr. Okam concerning a request to be promoted to Administrator. At the c clusion of this meeting, I was told that it was too late for me to get such a promotion that year, but if I kept up my good wor I would be promoted the following year. At that point, I had n filed any charges with the EEOC.

8. During the same period of time in which I had the abo discussion, I also talked with the manager of the credit depart ment where I worked, Mr. Takashima, concerning my work overload and my request for a promotion. During the end of 1981, Sumito began interviewing women to perform clerical work in my departm and I was told by Mr. Takashima that when the new woman came to work, he would change my job title.

9. On or about January 5, 1982, I filed a charge with th EEOC against Sumitomo alleging discrimination in employment.

10. In March 1982, a new clerical was hired in my departm

yet my job title was not changed.

11. In June 1982, I was advised by the EEOC that I could institute a suit in the United States District Court, which I d in July 1982.

12. In July 1982, two officials from Sumitomo's personne department, Mr. Kamijama and Mr. Okamoto, sought me out to have conversation as to why I had filed the charge and what could be done to get me to drop it. Both of these men, as well as Mr. O the general manager of administration, pressed me to drop the charge. In these conversations, I told the Sumitomo representa that I wanted to be able to consult with my own lawyer, Mr. Ste if we were to attempt to arrïve at a settlement. I was told th I had to drop Mr. Steel. Mr. Okamoto went so far as to say tha if I dropped my present attorney, I could pick another lawyer o Sumitomo would help me get one. Needless to say, I did not wis to negotiate under such conditions.

13. During the course of these July 1982 conversations, asked Mr. Takashima why he had not changed my job title and responsibilities after our department got a new clerical employee in March. He responded that he did not know what to do because what I had done.

14. In December 1982, I received the same across the bospercentage salary increase given to other clerical employees. also received what I assume to be a standard bonus.

-3-

15. On or about January 4, 1983, a memorandum (Exhibit 1 attached hereto) was circulated which listed the promotions of clerical employees. I did not receive a promotion at that time nor have I received one since.

16. I believe that Sumitomo has failed to promote me to Administrator and increase my pay accordingly in order to retal against me for charging it with discrimination and actively pro cuting that charge in the district court.

17. I file this charge of retaliation and request that t EEOC seek preliminary relief at this time as Sumitomo's failure promote me has serious economic consequences for me as I am a single parent raising a child without outside child support, ar also weakens my will to stay at the company itself. I had beer promised a new job title which would have put me in a position further advance myself. Obviously, Sumitomo has now made a decision to lock me into my present position and limit my develop ment. Psychologically, it is very difficult to accept being de even the most basic opportunities for advancement.

18. Moreover, I feel the failure to give me this small j motion is intended to signal other women working at Sumitomo the they should not cooperate in the prosecution of my lawsuit.

19. Women employees at Sumitomo have already told me th fear that Sumitomo will retaliate against them also if they pulicly express that they are being discriminated against. 20. For all these reasons, I request that the EEOC seek p liminary relief at this time.

Parinco = INCHERCHERA

Sworn to before me this 11th

day of February, 1983. NOTARY PUBLIC

Lewis M. Steel, Notary Public State of New York No. 31-9162590 Qualified in New York County Commission expires March 30, 1984