

DigitalCommons@NYLS

Notes, Correspondences, and Miscellaneous Materials

Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. v. Avagliano, 457 US 176 - Supreme Court 1982

8-1983

Correspondence: August 1983

Lewis Steel '63

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/notes_misc

STEEL & BELLMAN, P.C.

Attorneys at Law

351 Broadway, New York, New York 10013 [212] 925-7400

Richard F. Bellman Lewis M. Steel Gina Novendstern

August 18, 1983

Yasko Karaki Whitman & Ransom 522 5th Avenue New York, New York

Dear Ms. Karaki:

Thank you very much for your translation services. I understand from your note that the work took approximatly six hours. Please send us a bill and we will send you a check.

Very Truly Yours,

Deborah Schneer

STEEL & BELLMAN, P.C. Attorneys at Law 351 Broadway, New York, New York 10013 (212) 925-7400 Richard F. Bellman Lewis M. Steel Gina Novendstern August 23, 1983 Alderson Reporting Co. P.O. Box 2973 Washington, D.C. 20013 Sumitomo Shoji America Inc. v. Lisa M. Avagliano et al. Argued April 26, 1982 Dear Sir/Madam: Enclosed please find a check in the amount of \$137.74 as payment for the transcript of the argument in the above matter at the Supreme Court on April 26, 1982. Included in this payment is the sum of \$2.24 for first class postage. Please send the transcript as soon as possible to the above address. Very truly yours, STEEL & BELLMAN, P.C. GN: NM Enclosure

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK NEW YORK 10007

CHAMBERS OF
HAROLD J. RABY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE

(212) 791-0155

August 2, 1983

tirely mit

STEEL & BELLMAN, P.C. 351 Broadway New York, New York 10013 Att: Lewis M. Steel, Esq.

WENDER MURASE & WHITE

400 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Att: Lance Gotthoffer, Esq.

Re: AVAGLIANO v. SUMITOMO SHOJI AMERICA, INC., 77 Civ. 5641 (CHT); INCHERCHERA v. SUMITOMO CORP. OF AMERICA, 82 Civ. 4930 (CHT).

Gentlemen:

This letter will serve to acknowledge receipt of a letter from counsel for the plaintiffs in the above-referenced actions, under date of July 29, 1983, relating to certain difficulties which have apparently arisen in the course of pretrial discovery.

In short, counsel for the plaintiffs, Lewis M. Steel, Esq., has requested that Magistrate Raby direct the parties to commence discovery on the merits of the cases, notwithstanding the fact that Judge Tenney has not yet rendered his decision on the class action motion presently before the Court.

Please be advised that, in any event, Magistrate Raby is currently on his holiday and will not, therefore, be able to consider the aforementioned request at this moment in time. Of course, upon the Magistrate's return from vacation, at the end of August, the interested parties will be contacted in order to ascertain the existence of any outstanding discovery problems and, if necessary, to promptly schedule a pretrial conference. Until such time, opposing counsel are urged to negotiate between themselves in the hope of arriving at a mutually agreeable accord.

Respectfully,

Ira Cohen

Law Clerk to Magistrate Raby

cc: THE HON. CHARLES H. TENNEY
United States District Judge, S.D.N.Y.
United States Court House
Foley Square
New York, New York 10007