

DigitalCommons@NYLS

Avagliano v. Sumitomo: EEOC Complaint and Background

Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. v. Avagliano, 457 US 176 - Supreme Court 1982

4-5-1977

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Complaint by Frances Pacheco

Lewis M. Steel '63

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/eeoc_complaint_background

SENDER INSTRUCTIONS

Print your name, address, and ZIP Code in the space below.

Complete items 1 and 2 on reverse side.
 Moisten gummed ends and attach to back of article.





RETURN

TO

Lewis M. Steel, Esq. Eisner, Levy, Steel & Bellman, P.C. 351 Broadway New York, New York 10013

RECEIVED APR 11 1977

Mr. Arthur W. Stern
District Director
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
New York District Office
90 Church Street, Rm. 1301
New York, New York 10007

Re: Frances Pacheco v. Sumitomo Shoji, America, Inc.

Dear Mr. Stern:

This Title VII complaint is being sent to you for filing.

It is identical in virtually all respects to a series of complaints which have been filed by women against the above corporation, alleging sex discrimination. The first of these complaint is entitled Schneider v. Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc., LEOC Charge No. 021-77-0049, and this complaint should be consolidated with that case.

Very truly yours,

Eisner, Levy, Steel & Bellman, P.C.

By:

Lewis M. Steel

Mr. Arthur Stern	
PIL:	
STREET AND NO. 4/	17/77
90 Church St. Re	e:
THE PART OF THE CORE	acheco
New York New York 10007 New York Services for Additional FEES OPTIONAL SERVICES FOR ADDITIONAL FEES	
RETURN 1. Shows to wilder to addressee only	
RETURN RECEIPT 2. Shows to whom, date and where delivered 35¢ With delivery to addressee only	
DELIVER TO ADDRESSEE ONLY SPECIAL DELIVERY (extra fee required) (S	- Avida

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

April 7, 1977

Mr. Arthur W. Stern
District Director
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
New York District Office
90 Church Street, Rm. 1301
New York, New York 10007

Re: Trances Pacheco v. Sumitomo Shoji, America, Inc.

Dear Mr. Stern:

This Title VII complaint is being sent to you for filing.

It is identical in virtually all respects to a series of complaints which have been filed by women against the above corporation, alleging sex discrimination. The first of these complaint is entitled Schneider v. Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc., EEOC Charge No. 021-77-0049, and this complaint should be consolidated with that case.

Very truly yours,

Eisner, Levy, Steel & Bellman, P.C.

By: Lewis M. Stee1

LMS/pc Enc. (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE)

CHARGE	OF DISCRIMINATION	ELOC CHAR	CE NO.		гом дергочео ома во. 124-R0001
INSTRUCTIONS If you have a complaint, fill in this form and mail it to the Equal I Opportunity Commission's District Office in your area. In most case must be filed with the EEOC within a specified time after the discrittook place. IT IS THEREFORE IMPORTANT TO FILE YOUR CHISOON AS POSSIBLE. (Attach extra sheets of paper if necessary.)		cases, a charge scriminatory act CHARGE AS	CAUS RACE OR CO RELIGIOUS HATIONAL O	E OF DISCRIMINATION DLOR SEX CREED	
NAME (Indicate Mr. or M	ances Pacheco	***********		DATE OF BIRTH 4/28/5	50
STREET ADDRESS				SOCIAL SECURITY NO.	
105 Ba:	105 Baruch Drive Manh		attan		
CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE			TELEPHONE NO. (Include area code)		
New Yo	rk, N.Y. 10002			(212) 677	The state of the s
	THE FOLLOWING PE	RSON ALWA	YS KNOWS WHERE		MICHAEL MICHAE
NAME (Indicate Mr. or M.		D.C.		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	(Include area code)
Eisner, Levy, Steel & Bellman, P.C.			CITY, STATE, AND) 966-9620
351 Broadway	7				ork 10013
		ou subject	1		
LOCAL GOYER	OYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION WHO DISCRIMINATED	AGAINST YO	WENT AGENCY, A U (If more than one	PPRENTICESH , list all)	IP COMMITTEE, STATE OR
NAME					(Include area code)
Sumitomo She	oji America, Inc.			(212)	935-7000
			CITY, STATE, AND	ZIP CODE	
345 Park Avenue			New York, N.Y. 10022		
OTHERS WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST YOU (If any)					
CHARGE IS FILED AGAIN	PLOYEES/MEMBERS OF COMPANY OR	UNION THIS	L	or continuing of Continu to date	ing discrimination of filing.
Sumitomo She is a tradin its headquar pattern and tually all are men. To discriminat against Uni	oji America, Inc. g company with off rters in the City practice of discr of its executives, he corporation fur	is incommended in its incommende	rporated in major citi York. The on against rial employ gages in a tions on the esidents an	the States in the corporati women in ees and spattern are basis of	hereby certify: e of New York and United States and on engages in a that all or vir- ales personnel and practice of f national origin
is true to the best o	It I have read the above charge f my knowledge, information are CHARGING PARTY (Signature)	nd belief.	O Day Motary O Day Motary O Day O Da	MARIA A. VENTU Public, State of No. 31-2458861 ified in New York sion Expires Marri it is difficult for own name and my ill notarize the ch	JOHN YORK A Ventu
	SIGNATURE AND TITLE		Commission w		Pacheco



EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NEW YORK DISTRICT OFFICE 90 CHURCH STREET, ROOM 1301 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

264-7161

Lewis M. Steel, Esq. Eisner, Levy, Steel & Bellman, P.C. 351 Broadway New York, New York 10013

Re: Reiko Turner v. Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc.

Dear Mr. Steel:

This is in response to your letter of April 1, 1977; wherein you enclosed the Turner complaint and comment on a "Lack of investigative activity on these cases."

The crux of your comments is that "the Schneider complaint is now extremely old." This statement is not reflective of the situation, in view of the workload of this office. We have every intention of proceeding with these cases, in fact they have been assigned for investigation. I, therefore, trust this will satisfy your concerns over this matter.

As you must be aware, a Right to Sue Notice is a prerequisite to suit. This document is issued together with our determination, when such determination is for no reasonable cause. When the determination is for cause, after failure of conciliation. However if you are not willing to await the results of our investigation, our regulations provide for the issuance of the same upon request after the expiration of 180 days from the formal filing of the charge. The Schneider charge is the only one where this has occurred.

Sincerely,

Ralph Munoz

District Counsel

PHONE-O-GRAM for: Ims

Merio Quiles	of EEOC
☐ Telephoned ☐ Please return the	call Will call again Came in See me
Message: 1: Sumi	tomo
10 Taraca C	
NE .	
Phone: 26 4-7/84 Date	4114 Time 1030 By ZP

JUNE-	GRAM for: ait on 2021
M Spen	andia of
	Please return the call Will call again Came in See me
Message: M	: Seamitomo
	Petured the wet and
	would a spring
Phone: <u>724</u>	-6924 Date 4/11 Time 10 By 7 R



EISNER, LEVY, STEEL & BELLMAN, P.C.

Attorneys at Law

351 Broadway, New York, New York 10013 (212) 966-9620

Eugane G. Eisner Richard A. Levy Lewis M. Steel . Richard F. Bellman Mary M. Kaufman Counsel

April 1, 1977

Ms. Frances Pacheco 105 Baruch Drive New York, N.Y. 10002

Re: Frances Pacheco

v. Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc.

Dear Ms. Pacheco:

This is to confirm our retainer arrangement in your discrimination complaint against Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. (Sumitomo).

We have agreed that this law firm will prepare and file a complaint in your behalf at the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the State Division of Human Rights, alleging that Sumitomo has discriminated against you on the basis of sex and/or national origin. You understand that we are presently representing other employees of Sumitomo who have asked us to file similar complaints in their behalf. As a result, this law firm and you, as well as the other employees we are representing, have agreed that these matters will be handled jointly as a class action in behalf of all individuals similarly situated. Therefore, you have agreed that you will consult with other members of the group in the event that decisions have to be made with regard to settlement or how to proceed in this matter. If this law firm, for example, recommends that these cases should be settled according to agreed upon terms, and the majority of the group having filed complaints agrees with that recommendation, you understand that our law firm will be authorized to settle and you will have the option of either joining in that settlement or seeking other counsel.

This firm has agreed to represent you in this matter on a contingency basis. This means that you will not have to pay legal fees directly to the firm. The firm will be compensated only in the event that there is a recovery in this case or in the event there is a settlement, with one exception which I shall

. Ms. Frances Pacheco

EEL & SELLMAN, D.C.

April 1, 1977

explain later. In the event that a monetary award is obtained, either through settlement or as a result of an order being entered, we will receive one-third (1/3) of the entire recovery. We shall deduct from your two-thirds (2/3) recovery our court costs, disbursements, and other out-of-pocket expenses.

If Sumitomo is ordered to pay this law firm attorneys fees for its representation in this matter, or if that corporation agrees to pay attorneys fees as part of a settlement, your two-thirds share of the damages may be increased as a result of our receiving these fees. This would occur under the following circumstances: if our one-third share of any award compensates this law firm at the rate of \$75 per hour or more for the time we expend on the entire case, including the class action aspects, we will reduce our one-third share of the recovery by the amount we receive in attorneys fees down to the point where we receive \$75 an hour. Let me emphasize once again that this hourly rate is only applicable for purposes of computing our share of a monetary award achieved in the action. We will receive the compensation specified above only in the event that the employer agrees to or is compelled to compensate you.

You also understand that an objective of this litigation is to achieve job upgrading and promotions and training for the women employees of the corporation. If you settle your individual claim with the corporation, in order to receive job or salary advancement from the corporation, without receiving damages or attorneys fees out of which this law firm will be paid, the one exception I mentioned above with regard to payment of attorneys fees will come into play. In the event of such settlement, you will pay this law firm \$1,500 plus any expenses and disbursements we expended in your behalf. You understand that the purpose for this provision is to ensure that our law firm is compensated for its work in achieving a promotion or increased pay for you in the future.

This law firm has also informed you that we anticipate that it will be necessary to file a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in order to vindicate your rights. We are agreed that this retainer will also cover our representation of you in such federal lawsuit. Prior to our filing a lawsuit in federal court, however, we will discuss with you and the other members of the group what such a lawsuit entails.

If this sets forth our agreement, please sign the original where indicated and return it so us. We have provided a copy for your

Ms. Frances Pac

April 1977

convenience. If you have any questions about this agreement, please call.

Very truly yours,

Eisner, Levy, Steel & Bellman, P.C.

Ву

Lewis M. Steel

LMS/cpm

Enc. 1

Agreed and Accepted

Frances Pacheco