
Motions

People v. Maynard, 80 Misc. 2d 279 - NY:
Supreme Court, New York 1974

1-23-1974

Stipulation re: Freund & Forman

Lewis Steel '63

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

v.

WILLIAM A. MAYNARD, JR.

Defendant

:

:

:

Indictment No. 3937/67

----- X

STIPULATION

It is stipulated by and between the parties, that if Frederick Freund and Rhoda Forman were called to testify in the post-trial hearing with regard to Michael Febles, they would testify as follows:

Frederick Freund

1. That he is Michael Febles' father-in-law, and that during the time of the Maynard trial Febles lived with Mr. Freund at the latter's home. He thinks the period of time Febles stayed with him was around 1967-68.

2. That during the period of the trial, Febles, who usually dressed very poorly, began to dress in respectable clothes which he said the police provided him.

3. That during the period of the trial, Mr. Freund became aware that Febles carried weapons and one day while Febles was out, Mr. Freund looked into Febles closet and found a bloodied Marine uniform, with a Marine khaki canvas bag which bore a name that he did not recognize. Mr. Freund called the Charles Street police station (the 6th Precinct), and told the police, but the officer he spoke to there (Mr. Freund thinks the officer's

name was Sheehan or Shea) told him not to worry. Freund on another occasion found a pistol in Febles room and called the Charles Street station, asking that Febles be arrested. The police, Freund said, refused to take any action.

4. That during the time of the trial Febles was under the care of two detectives, one of whom was the same man he spoke to on the 'phone (Sheehan or Shea) regarding the Marine uniform.

5. That Febles often boasted of hanging around the Charles Street station and of having friendships with the police who worked at the station.

Rhoda Forman

1. She is the complainant in the case of People v. Michael Febles, Criminal Court of the City of New York, County of New York, Docket C-322/1966.


2. The conduct which she complained of in her complaint in People v. Febles had been occurring on a regular basis for at least three to four months prior thereto. Since its inception, she had reported the matter to the Sixth Precinct.

3. Sometime before Febles' arrest, detectives, on two separate occasions, from the Sixth Precinct interviewed her. She does not know the names of either of the detectives who interviewed her, but remembers that one was Irish.

4. She was told by the arresting officer, after the arrest of Febles was made that the arresting officer knew about him as he had been involved in another incident(he had allegedly been spying on a girl from a fire escape).

5. After his arrest, Febles was taken to the Charles Street precinct. She was driven by the police to the precinct on the same night to make out her complaint.

Dated: New York, New York
this 23rd day of
January, 1974.



Lewis M. Steel
Attorney for William A. Maynard, Jr.

Alfred Scotti
Acting District Attorney
by. Juris Cedarbaums
Asst. District Attorney
New York