

DigitalCommons@NYLS

Motions

People v. Maynard, 80 Misc. 2d 279 - NY: Supreme Court, New York 1974

1-11-1971

Affidavit and Notice of Motion for a New Trial (Indictment No 3937/67)

Lewis Steel '63

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/motions

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

-against-

WILLIAM A. MAYNARD, JR.,

NOTICE OF MOTION
INDICTMENT NO. 3937/67

SIRS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed affidavit of

Lewis M. Steel duly sworn on the n day of January 1971, and upon

all the pleadings and proceedings heretofore had herein, the

undersigned will move this Court in Part 33 thereof, at the Courthouse,

100 Centre Street, New York, New York, on the 12th day of January,

1971, at 10:00 A.M. or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for
an order setting aside the verdict and granting the defendant a new

trial or in the alternative order a hearing, pursuant to Section 465

(3) and (4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and for such other and

further relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.

DATED: New York, N.Y.

11th day of January, 1971

Yours, etc.
Lewis M. Steel
Daniel L. Meyers
di Suvero, Meyers, Oberman
& Steel
350 Broadway
New York, N.Y.

TO: Frank S. Hogan
District Attorney
100 Centre Street
New York, N.Y.

Clerk Supreme Court New York County THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

-against- Indictment No.

WILLIAM A. MAYNARD, JR.,

mind was alosed from the outset of the deliberations.

t should be noted that Mr. Maynard is a black man and STATE OF NEW YORK) wing is a white woman. Paring the your COUNTY OF NEW YORK) defense counsel attempted to delve into atti-

LEWIS M. STEEL, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am one of the attorneys for the defendant, William A. Maynard, Jr., in the above captioned matter, and make this affidavit in support of a motion for a new trial based upon the Code of Criminal Procedure, § 465 (3) and (4).
- 2. Immediately after the jury returned a verdict of manslaughter in the first degree on December 9, 1970, I interviewed some of the jurors in the case. Two of those jurors, Louise Carmen and Rose Moskowitz told me that another juror, who they would not name, had been extremely "narrow minded" during the deliberations. These jurors, however, would not tell me what had occurred because they said that the members of the jury had entered into an agreement not to discuss their deliberations.
- 3. During the week of January 4, 1971, I had occassion to interview another juror, Carolyn Boncher. On January 6 and 8, 1971, she told me that one of the jurors, Peter Lisi, had, in the early stages of the deliberations, become highly emotional and extremely agitated when the discussion turned to Mr. Maynard's relationship with a woman named Giselle Nicole Quinn. Miss Boncher told me that in substance Mr. Lisi had pounded on the table and said in substance that any man who would violate the laws of God and the laws of the Church would violate the laws of man. According to Miss Boncher, Mr. Lisi's face was contorted in anger during his outburst. Miss Boncher said that Mr. Lisi's remark touched off a loud and emotional argument in the jury room. ery hearing before determining to set aside the verdict.

8. My convergetions with Miss Boucher also reverl that the

jury, as a panel, violated the prohibition contained in the Code of Criminal Procedure § 465 (3) against separating without leave of the Court after retiring to deliberate upon their verdict. Miss Boncher informed me that during one period when the deliberations were almost completely bogged down, the jury determined to break up into small groups and thereafter deliberated in these groups approximately three hours. During this period, some jurors changed their votes as a result of deliberations which Miss Boncher said she did not hear.

- 9. The separation of jurors denies the defendant substantial day of January 1971, and upon rights especially in light of the allegation that one juror was heretofore had herein, the overtly prejudiced against him. The danger of prejudice spreading to infect other jurors is greater when the remarks of one new York, New York, on the 12th day of January, are not heard by all.
- 10. On January 7, 1971, I spoke with another juror, Winifred acting aside the verdict and greating the defendant a new LeVay. She confirmed Miss Boncher's statements with regard to in the alternative order a hearing, pursuant to Section 465 Mr. Lisi and the separation of the jurors.
- 11. No previous application for relief has been made upon arther relief as to the Court may seem just and proper. this ground.

JATED: WHEREFORE, I respectfully request that the verdict of the jury be set aside and the defendant be given a new trial, or in the alternative, that a hearing be ordered to determine the issues raised herein.

Deniel L. Meyers

& Steel

& Steel

Broadway

Lawis M. STEEL

Sworn to before me this

NOTARY PUBLIC

CAROLYN P. MANNING
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 03-7700450
Qualified in Brons, County
Commission Expires March 30 1969

11 NW 11 5W 3:88