

1984

The Role of Courts in American Society: The Final Report of the Council on the Role of the Courts

Jethro K. Lieberman

THE ROLE OF COURTS
IN
AMERICAN SOCIETY

The Final Report
of the
Council on the Role of Courts

Principal Editor
JETHRO K. LIEBERMAN

ST. PAUL, MINN.
WEST PUBLISHING CO.

1984

6/11
20
7/11

COPYRIGHT © 1984

By

WEST PUBLISHING CO.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 84-60797

THE COUNCIL ON THE ROLE OF COURTS

Sylvia Bacon
Richard J. Bartlett
John Cratsley
Alice Daniel §
Jane Frank Harman *
Marvin E. Frankel
Lawrence M. Friedman
Marc S. Galanter
Joel B. Grossman *
Joseph W. Hatchett
Donald L. Horowitz
Shirley M. Hufstedler §
Benjamin Kaplan *
Robert J. Kutak †
Edward B. McConnell

Harold Leventhal †
Wade H. McCree, Jr.
Carl McGowan
Robert B. McKay §§
Daniel J. Meador
Archibald R. Murray
Charles B. Renfrew
Maurice Rosenberg **
Frank E. A. Sander ***
Antonin Scalia *
Thomas C. Schelling
William B. Spong
Paul R. Verkuil
Calvin Woodard

OBSERVERS

A. Leo Levin

Ernst John Watts

§ Resigned in 1979 upon entering federal government service

* Member, Report Committee

** Chairman, 1979; Chairman, Report Committee

*** Chairman, 1980-1983

§§ Chairman, Conference Committee

† Deceased

*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
COUNCIL LISTING	III
PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	V

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION: THE ROLE OF COURTS AS A PUBLIC ISSUE	1
II. WHAT COURTS ARE DOING AND HAVE BEEN DOING ..	1
III. WHAT COURTS DO AND DO NOT DO EFFECTIVELY ...	5
IV. STRENGTHENING THE CAPACITY OF COURTS	9
V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION: THE ROLE OF COURTS AS A PUBLIC ISSUE

Prologue	12
I. INTRODUCTION	12
A. Why This Venture	12
B. Scope of Study	14
C. Whom and What the Council Addresses; Audience and Purposes	16
II. "FRONTIER" CASES	16
A. School Disputes	17
B. Athletic Contests	17
C. Family Issues	18
D. Church Issues	18
E. Institutional Litigation	19
F. Types of Disputes Not Heard in Courts	19
III. AN OVERVIEW OF SOCIAL TRENDS	21
Notes	26

CHAPTER 2. WHAT COURTS ARE DOING AND HAVE BEEN DOING

I. A SUMMARY VIEW OF COURTS' ACTIVITIES TODAY ..	28
A. Preliminary Note on Court Structure	28
B. Court Volume	29
Cautionary Note on "Filings"	29
1. State Court Volume	29
2. Federal Court Volume	33

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	A SUMMARY VIEW OF COURTS' ACTIVITIES TODAY—	
	Continued	
	C. Kinds of Work Courts Do	Page 34
	1. In General	34
	a. Adjudication	34
	b. Matters in Aid of Adjudication	35
	c. Non-adjudicatory Tasks	35
	2. Business of the State Courts	35
	a. Limited Jurisdiction Courts	35
	(i) Generally	35
	(ii) Jurisdiction	36
	(iii) Procedures	36
	(iv) Caseload	36
	(v) Dispositions	37
	(vi) Access	37
	b. General Jurisdiction Courts	38
	(i) Generally	38
	(ii) Subject Matter	38
	(iii) Types of Parties	38
	c. Appellate Courts	39
	3. Business of the Federal Courts	39
	a. Federal Trial Courts	39
	b. Federal Appellate Courts	39
	4. Non-adjudicative Activities of the Courts	40
	a. In General	40
	b. Legislative Acts	41
	c. Executive Activities	42
	d. Administrative or Supervisory Activities	42
	e. Ceremonial Functions	44
	D. The Relationship of Courts to Other Dispute-Resolvers	44
II.	TRENDS AND CHANGES IN ADJUDICATING AC-	
	TIVITIES	45
	A. Importance of Discerning Trends	45
	1. In General	45
	2. A Cautionary Note on Historical Perspective	45
	3. Summary of Trends	46
	B. The Trends, Federal and State	48
	1. In General: Changes in the Work of the Courts	
	Since 1950	48
	2. Trends in State Courts	49
	a. Generally	49
	b. Trends in Caseloads of General Jurisdiction	
	Courts	50
	c. Trends in Trial and Outcome of Cases	51
	d. Appellate Courts	51

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
II. TRENDS AND CHANGES IN ADJUDICATING ACTIVITIES—Continued	
3. Trends in Federal Courts	53
a. Generally	53
b. Federal Caseload	53
c. Subject Matter	53
d. Types of Parties	54
e. Trials	54
f. Appellate Caseload	54
4. Summary of Trends by Types of Development	55
a. Types of Parties	55
b. Doctrinal Developments	56
c. Court Procedures	57
(i) Judicial Housekeeping	58
(ii) Funding	58
(iii) Restructuring Judicial Machinery	59
d. Settlement	59
e. Appeals	60
f. Costs	60
g. Delay	61
h. Role of the Individual Judge	62
Notes	66

CHAPTER 3. WHAT COURTS DO AND DO NOT DO EFFECTIVELY

I. HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION	72
A. Evolution of Courts and Our Thinking About Them	72
1. Dispute Resolving	72
2. Law Ascertaining	73
3. Law Declaring	76
B. The American Experience	76
II. LENSES FOR VIEWING THE COURTS	81
A. The Traditionalist View: Courts as Dispute-Resolvers	83
B. The Adaptationist View	84
III. JUDICIAL ATTRIBUTES	86
A. The Nature of Judicial Cases	86
B. Essential Characteristics of Adjudication	88
1. Operating Principles	88
a. Party Participation and Control	88
b. Sharp Focus	89
c. Reasoned Decision	90
d. Finality	90
2. Characteristics of Adjudicating Courts	90
a. Governmental	90
b. Political Independence	91

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
III. JUDICIAL ATTRIBUTES—Continued	
c. Decides Submitted Disputes	91
d. Impartiality	91
e. Responsiveness	91
f. Procedural Regularity	92
3. The Adversary Process	92
C. A Broader View of Adjudication	93
IV. COURTS IN RELATION TO OTHER DISPUTE-RESOLVING MECHANISMS AND INSTITUTIONS	94
A. Introduction	94
B. The Mechanisms Compared	95
1. Arbitration	95
2. Mediation	96
3. Conciliation	97
4. Negotiation	97
5. Consultation	97
6. Inquisitorial Approach	98
7. Administration	99
8. Legislation	100
C. The Mechanisms in Context	100
V. CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING WHICH TYPES OF CASES ARE FIT FOR COURTS	101
A. Introduction	101
B. Axes of Inclusion and Exclusion	102
C. Functional Criteria	102
1. Objectivity	102
2. Necessity for Authoritative Standards	103
3. Determining Past vs. Future Events	105
D. Prudential Criteria	108
1. Costs	108
2. Particularized Consideration	108
3. Preference of the Parties	108
4. Vitality of Another Institution	109
5. Immediate Resolution of a Specialized Problem	111
6. Direct vs. Indirect Action	111
VI. APPLYING THE CRITERIA	112
A. Cases Suitable for Courts on Any View	112
B. Cases Suitable for Courts That Nevertheless Ought Not be Heard There	112
C. Cases in Which Courts Should Play a Backup Role	113
1. Child Custody Cases	113
a. Factors Favoring Court Determination	113
b. Factors Opposing Court Determination	113
c. How the Courts May be of Use	114

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
VI. APPLYING THE CRITERIA—Continued	114
2. Diversion	114
3. Courts as Architects of Process	114
D. Cases Not Suitable for Courts on the Traditionalist View That on the Adaptationist View Nevertheless Belong There Now	117
E. Cases Not Suitable for Courts	120
VII. ASSESSING COURTS' EFFECTIVENESS	121
A. On the Traditionalist View	121
1. Internal Measures	121
2. Quality of Justice	122
3. Quality of Process	123
4. Summary: General Standards for Measuring Court Performance	124
a. Accessibility	124
b. Cost in Relation to Benefit	124
c. Processing Time	124
d. Satisfaction of Parties	124
e. Clarity of Resolution	124
f. Demand	124
B. On the Adaptationists' View	124
1. Adaptability	124
2. Success	125
Notes	127

CHAPTER 4. STRENGTHENING THE CAPACITY OF COURTS

I. STRUCTURAL AIDS	136
A. Specialized Courts	136
1. Generally	136
2. Probate and Bankruptcy Courts	137
3. Family and Juvenile Courts	138
4. Tax and Economic Courts	138
5. Tentative Conclusions About Specialized Courts	139
a. Complexity	139
b. Value Conflicts	139
c. Specialist Option	140
d. Limited Specialization	140
B. Specialized Judges	141
C. Judicial Adjuncts	141
II. PROCEDURAL AIDS	143
A. Differentiated Procedures	143
B. Pretrial and Settlement Conference	144
C. Curbing Expensive Procedures	145

TABLE OF CONTENTS

III. ENHANCED RESOURCES	Page 147
Notes	149

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. OVERVIEW	152
II. DETERMINING WHICH DISPUTES SHOULD GO TO COURT	153
A. Tests of Suitability	153
B. Contraindicators	154
C. Constitutionalizing Disputes	155
III. JUDICIAL BACK-UP ROLE	155
IV. STRENGTHENING THE CAPACITY OF COURTS	155
V. INADEQUACY OF DATA	156
Notes	157

APPENDICES

App.

A. TABLES	
1. Number of Cases Filed in Limited and General Jurisdiction Courts for 14 Sampled States (in rank order by population)	159
2. Percent of the Caseload Filed by Limited Jurisdiction Courts	160
3. Percent of All Limited Jurisdiction Cases Filed in Various Limited Jurisdiction Courts	161
4. Percent of Cases Filed in Limited Jurisdiction Court by Type of Case	163
5. Percentage of Civil and Criminal Cases Filed in Limited and General Jurisdiction Courts	165
6. Civil Filings in Eight State Courts of General Jurisdiction, 1976	166
7. Cases Filed in Courts of General Jurisdiction for Five Counties, 1903-1904 to 1976-1977	167
8. Civil Filings in Federal District Courts, 1961 and 1982	168
B. PAPERS AND PROJECTS COMMISSIONED BY THE COUNCIL	169
C. PARTICIPANTS AT THE COUNCIL'S NATIONAL CONFERENCE, ST. LOUIS, MAY, 1982	170